06

Michael O. Leavitt Governor Robert L. Morgan Executive Director Lowell P. Braxton Division Director 1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210 PO Box 145801 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801 (801) 538-5340 telephone (801) 359-3940 fax (801) 538-7223 TTY www.nr.utah.gov

December 6, 2002

CERTIFIED MAIL 7099 3400 0016 8895 6405

Tim Kirschbaum, Environmental Engineer Consolidation Coal Company P.O. Box 566 Sesser, Illinois 62884

Re: Reassessment for State Violation No. N02-39-2-1, Consolidation Coal Company, Emery Deep Mine, C/015/015, Compliance File

Dear Mr. Kirschbaum:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the reassessment of the above-noted violation for the above referenced violation. This violation was issued by Division Inspector, Stephen J. Demczak, on October 25, 2002. Rule R645-401-600 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the penalty.

Please let us know if you still want to have the Assessment Conference you requested. If the assessment conference is not held, this **proposed penalty will become final**, and the **penalty will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of this reassessment.** Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vickie Southwick.

Sincerely,

Pamela Grubaugh-Littig

Assessment Officer

Enclosure

cc:

OSM Compliance Report Vickie Southwick, DOGM Price Field Office

ALCOMPLIANCE\2002\N02-39-2-1LTR_REASSESS.DOC

Where ideas connect

U.S. Postal Service CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance/Councing Provided)		-39-2-1,		Doct	Here			mpleted by mailer)			See Reverse for Instructions
U.S. Postal Service CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIP (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Councel		12/6/02, C/Q15/015-N02-39-2-1,	€\$	0	9.0	9.0	\$	Recipient's Name (Please Print Clearly) (to be completed by mailer)	8 8 40 0	9	628×., 2000
U.S. Postal Service CERTIFIED MAII		12/6/02, C	Postage	Certified Fee	Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	Total Postage & Fees	Recipient's Name (Plea	TITMAK TR SCHBAUM	P. 0. BOX. 566.	SESCED II 628× PS Form 3800, February 2000
	50	h 9	56	88	77	00	00	hΕ	Ы	602	-

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING

			Consolidation C	Coal Company					
	MIT <u>C/(</u> / CO #			VIOLATION1 o	of1				
ASSI	ESSMEN	T DAT	ΓΕ <u>October 29, 20</u>	02 (Re-Assessed November 2	26, 2002)				
ASSI	ESSMEN	NT OFF	TCER Pamela Gr	ubaugh-Littig	•				
I.	HISTORY (Max. 25 pts.)								
	Α.		ere previous violati f today's date?	ons, which are not pending or	vacated, which fall one (1)				
	PREV	TOUS V	VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS				
			5 points for each p	ast violation, up to one (1) yea past violation in a CO, up to o es shall be counted					
				TOTAL 1	HISTORY POINTS 0				
II.	SERIOUSNESS (Either A or B)								
	NOTE: For assignment of po			points in Parts II and III, the	oints in Parts II and III, the following apply:				
	1. Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer of determine within each category where the violation falls.								
	2. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer values adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.								
		Is this	an EVENT (A) or	HINDRANCE (B) violation?	NDRANCE (B) violation? <u>Event (A)</u>				
	A. <u>EVENT VIOLATION</u> (Max 45 pts.)								
		1.	What is the event	which the violated standard w	vas designed to prevent?				

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

Environmental Harm.

2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent?

PROBABILITY	<u>RANGE</u>
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS 15

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

Vehicles (one car and one truck) were parked on top of the undisturbed vegetation and topsoil that could inhibit future reclamation caused by a disturbance to soil compaction and vegetation.

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?

RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS 13

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

This action caused additional disturbance to soil compaction and vegetation.

- B. <u>HINDRANCE VIOLATION</u> (Max 25 pts.)
 - 1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? _____

RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS _____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 28

III. <u>NEGLIGENCE</u> (Max 30 pts.)

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence 0
Negligence 1-15
Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Greater Degree

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 29

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

Violation #N02-39-1-2 (1 of 2) was issued on September 12, 2002 to remove the trailer from the undisturbed area where topsoil and vegetation had not been removed (same location). The trailer was removed and the violation was terminated. On October 25, 2002, this violation was issued because vehicles were found on the same area that was supposed to be an undisturbed area where topsoil and vegetation had not been removed.

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.)

(Either A or B)

(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

• Immediate Compliance

-11 to -20*

(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

Rapid Compliance

-1 to -10

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

Normal Compliance

0

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

^{*}Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

- Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
 - (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- Normal Compliance -1 to -10*

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

Extended Compliance 0
(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay

within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)
(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? Easy

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS _-10__

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

The violation was terminated on November 21, 2002. The abatement plan for reclamation of the undisturbed areas was sent to the Division on November 8, 2002. This was approved on November 15, 2002. The abatement work was completed on November 21, 2002.

V. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

NOT	ICE OF VIOLATION # <u>N02-39-2-1</u>	_
I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	28
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	29
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	-10
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	47
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$ 880

O:\015015.EME\COMPLIANCE\2002\N02-39-2-1WKSHT REASSESS.DOC